

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/727,748 11/30/2000		11/30/2000	Prathap Haridoss	10964-043001/ Case 629	4182	
26161	7590	04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI 225 FRANK		SON PC	CANTELMO, GREGG			
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1745	1745	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

حبر	
\sim	

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/727,74	48	HARIDOSS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
	Gregg Ca	antelmo	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU. - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this city of the period for reply specified above is less than thin If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three monical earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the state in statutory period will apply and wereply will, by statute, cause the appoints after the mailing date of this countries.	rent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron olication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>20 January 200</u>	<u>)4</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is r			
3) Since this application is in conditi	ion for allowance except	for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under <i>Ex parte Q</i> u	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10,14-16 and 18-2</u>	24 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) i				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10,14-16 and 18-2</u>	24 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		equirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	y the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	•) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include				
11) The oath or declaration is objecte				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None o		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the prior		en received.		
2. Certified copies of the prior	•		tion No.	
3. Copies of the certified copi	•			
application from the Interna			•	
* See the attached detailed Office a			ed.	•
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie		Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144	9 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In response to the amendment received January 20, 2004:
 - a. The specification objection is withdrawn in light of Applicant's response;
 - b. The 112 first paragraph rejections are withdrawn in light of the amendment. However new 112 rejections are presented below in view of the current claims;
 - c. The prior art rejections of record are withdrawn in light of the amendment. This is not an concession that the claims are allowable. The subsequent rejections show that the claims fail to comply with the written description and enablement requirements and absent clarification and/or resolution of these issues, the Examiner cannot make a positive statement as to the patentability of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 14-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Art Unit: 1745

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The independent claims recite that the composition comprises between about 75-95 wt.% catalyst and less than about 30 wt.% non-electrolytic material. The sum of these two materials is in excess of 100 wt.% and is not held to be taught within the scope of the original disclosure. In addition the original disclosure, while teachings of the layer including less than about 30% of the binder does not teach of the weight relationship of the composition such as that between the binder and catalyst as defined in the claims. It should be expressly noted that on page 6, II. 15-17 that with a weight percent between 75-95 % catalyst, the non-electrolytic material makes up the *balance*.

4. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 14-16 and 18-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As discussed above the total of the catalyst and non-electrolytic material by weight percent exceeds 100 percent for a substantial portion of the range. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have readily understood the exact weight percents applicable to the instant invention especially since the range of the percentages exceeds 100%. It should be expressly noted that on page 6, II. 15-17 that with a weight percent between 75-95 % catalyst, the non-electrolytic material makes up the *balance*.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

- 5. No patentability determination can be made at this time since the claims raise significant new matter and enablement issues. These issues, drawn to limitations which are unsupported by the original disclosure, render the exact scope of the invention indeterminable at this point in prosecution. Applicant is advised that since prosecution on the merits is closed, any after final amendment attempting to overcome the 112 first paragraph issues will be held to raise new issues which would require further search and consideration and any such amendment will most likely be denied entry.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

gc

March 26, 2004

gy Canto